CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

During the fourth year of the Five-Year Consolidated Plan for FY 2015-2019, the City of Sanford CDBG program was implemented by the Seminole County Community Services Department, through an Interlocal Agreement. The interlocal agreement was concluded through a vote from the City Commission in May 2019. The City of Sanford resumed administration of their Community Development Block Grant Program October 1, 2019.

During the 2019-2020 program year, the City of Sanford has continued the Minor Home Repairs (formally known as Immediate Needs) program to provide minor and moderate home repairs, and processed applications for substantial home rehabilitation and reconstructions. The City has made progress in meeting the goals to address the high priority community development continued to focus its attention on improving of the predominately African-American neighborhoods of Sanford, primarily, Goldsboro and Georgetown. The neighborhoods are identified as primary target areas in the City's CDBG Five Year Consolidated Plan. The 2015-2019 Consolidated Plan was approved in July 2015 and identified high priority goals related to providing decent affordable housing opportunities through the homeowner occupied housing rehabilitation program, providing supportive services through public services grants that seek to create suitable living environment and maintain sustainability within the targeted areas. Sanford also seeks to create a suitable living environment by providing availability/accessibility to improved public facilities in the City.

For the CDBG program the highest priorities are:

- Affordable Ownership housing
- Supportive services
- Housing Rehabilitation
- Public Facilities
- Economic Development

The City of Sanford also seeks to improve the infrastructure in the target areas by making improvement to public facilities, area parks and recreation centers. During the consolidated planning period the City also seeks to encourage economic development and provide assistance to small businesses in the area.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

Goal	Category	Source / Amount	Indicator	Unit of Measure	Expected - Strategic Plan	Actual – Strategic Plan	Percent Complete	Expected - Program Year	Actual – Program Year	Percent Complete
Administration	Administration	CDBG:	Other	Other	1	1	100.00%	200	200	100.00%
Economic Development	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG:	Businesses assisted	Businesses Assisted	4	1	25.00%	3	1	33.33%
Homeowner Occupied Housing Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	CDBG:	Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	260	1	0.38%	2	1	50.00%
Public Facilities	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG:	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Households Assisted	2000	0	0.00%			
Public Services	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG:	Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit	Persons Assisted	2060	24	1.17%	137	24	17.52%

Table 1 - Accomplishments - Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction's use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

The City of Sanford used its FY 2019-2020 CDBG funds primarily for housing rehabilitation and public services. One (1) low and moderate income households received minor home repairs. The City of Sanford faced challenges with Covid-19 stay at home orders, closing of businesses and other mandatory shutdowns, resulting in delays with housing rehabilitation and reconstruction activities. Funds were also used to provide public services through subrecipient agreements with nonprofit partners. A total of 24 low and moderate income households benefited from public services. Renewed partnership with Mental Health Services provided five (5) low income individuals with resources, housing and other health related programs and/or services. The City of Sanford experienced challenges with their CDBG economic development program during the previous program year, as interested businesses could not fully participate in the program. The City of Sanford assisted interested businesses with information on business administration, free classes and other services. The City of Sanford established a partnership with one (1) established business awarded economic development funds to improve façade and make minor repairs. The City reviewed the structure of the Economic Development program and made adjustments during the 2019-2020 program year.

Restraints in the amount of CDBG funding available resulted in allocation of funds for activities that would identified as the highest priority needs. There were no public facility improvement projects for Program Year 2019. In addition, Housing rehabilitation and reconstructions require approximately \$50,000 to \$150,000 per unit. It reduces the number of households that can receive assistance during a given program year. Housing repair programs are a high priority in Sanford because of the aged housing stock in the City. Housing rehabilitation and reconstruction projects provide a visible and tangible impact at the individual, neighborhood and community level.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted). 91.520(a)

	CDBG
White	0
Black or African American	38
Asian	0
American Indian or American Native	0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0
Total	0
Hispanic	1
Not Hispanic	37

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative



CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	public - federal	478,255	
Other	public - federal	298,368	

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
District Two	100		
Georgetown			
Goldsboro			

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative



Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.



CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	0
Number of Non-Homeless households to be		
provided affordable housing units	2	0
Number of Special-Needs households to be		
provided affordable housing units	0	0
Total	2	0

Table 5 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through		
Rental Assistance	0	0
Number of households supported through		
The Production of New Units	0	0
Number of households supported through		
Rehab of Existing Units	4	0
Number of households supported through		
Acquisition of Existing Units	0	0
Total	4	0

Table 6 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Households Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual	
Extremely Low-income	0	0	
Low-income	0	0	
Moderate-income	0	0	
Total	0	0	

Table 7 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information



CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again



CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs



CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)



CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

